DIHYDRODIOLS OF DIBENZ(a,c)ANTHRACENE

Subodh Kumar* and Panna L. Kole

Great Lakes Laboratory, State University of New York College at Buffalo, 1300 Elmwood Avenue, Buffalo, New York 14222

Abstract: An unequivocal synthesis of dihydrodiols of the mutagenic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon, dibenz(a,c)anthracene is described

An important aspect of polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH)-induced carcinogenesis concerns the mechanism by which these relatively inert molecules are metabolically activated to highly reactive intermediates (ultimate mutagens and carcinogens). The present report is concerned with the PAH, dibenz(a,c)anthracene DBA 1. Although the carcinogenic activity of DBA is disputed, it has been shown to possess tumor initiating activity similar to that of benz(a)anthracene and chrysene^{2,3}, and displays mutagenic activity higher than that of carcinogenic dibenz(a,h)anthracene^{4,5}. Like other PAHs, DBA binds covalently to nucleic acid in mouse skin and rodent embryo cells in culture $^{\prime}$ via reactive metabolites 8 , however, the structure of these reactive metabolites has not so far been elucidated. We believe that the bay-region diol epoxides and their precursor dihydrodiols which are implicated in the activation of several mutagenic and carcinogenic PAHs⁹, are not likely to be involved in the metabolic activation of DBA, presumably because the diol group which also forms a part of the bay-region of the molecule adopts quasi diaxial conformation. The bay-region diol epoxides and their precursor diols with such a conformation are expected to exhibit attenuated mutagenic and carcinogenic activities $^{10-12}$. Therefore, the dihydrodiols 2 and 3 which are the metabolic precursor of the bay-region diol epoxides and tentatively identified as minor metabolites of DBA¹³ may not be involved in its





Figure. Ultraviolet spectra of dihydrodiols in hexane-cyclohexane-EtOH(72:24:1): 2 (------, ε 52,900 at 315 nm; ε 44,400 at 302 nm; ε 46,400 at 263 nm), 3 (------, ε 99,700 at 272 nm; ε 62,100 at 262 nm).

Scheme



metabolic activation. In order to elucidate the mechanism by which DBA expresses its mutagenic and possibly carcinogenic activities, DBA derivatives which are potential metabolites of this PAH are needed. The present communication describes an unambiguous synthesis of DBA-1,2-dihydrodiol $\underline{2}$ and DBA-3,4-dihydrodiol $\underline{3}$.

The dihydrodiols $\underline{2}$ and $\underline{3}$ are prepared from a common intermediate 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroDBA $\underline{5}$. In the present study, $\underline{5}$ was conveniently prepared with an overall yield of 95% via oxidative cyclization (HI/AcOH)¹⁴ of the keto-acid $\underline{4}$, which was obtained in an almost quantitative yield by the Friedel-Crafts acylation of 1,2,3,4-tetrahydrophenanthrene with phthalic anhydride (see scheme). The structure of $\underline{5}$ was confirmed by its dehydrogenation DDQ/benzene which produced DBA $\underline{1}$ identical (NMR, UV, mp and mixed mp) to the authentic DBA prepared according to the published procedures ^{14a}.

Oxidation of 5 with DDQ/AcOH¹⁵ at room temperature afforded nearly 1:1 mixture of 1-acetoxy-1,2,3,4,-tetrahydroDBA $\underline{6}$ and 4-acetoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroDBA $\underline{7}$ in 78% yield. This mixture was best separated by hydrolyzing (NaOH) it to a mixture of hydroxy derivatives $\underline{8}$ and $\underline{9}$ which were then separated by chromatography over dry column grade silica gel (E. Merck) using 5% EtOAc-C₆H₆ as the solvent system. The relatively less polar compound was identified as $\underline{8}$ by comparing its ¹H-NMR with that of $\underline{9}$ and $\underline{5}$. The relatively downfield shift in the H₁₄ proton of $\underline{8}$ compared to $\underline{9}$ and $\underline{5}$ clearly suggest that the hydroxy group is substituted at position 1 of $\underline{8}$. The structure of $\underline{8}$ was further confirmed by its unequivocal synthesis¹⁶ from known 5-methylbenz(a)anthracene <u>10</u>¹⁷ as shown in scheme. Conversion of alcohols $\underline{8}$ and $\underline{9}$ to the corresponding dihydrodiols $\underline{2}$ and $\underline{3}$ was accomplished by identical routes, with similar yields resulting in each series (see scheme), following the procedure analogous to that reported in the literature¹⁸. Physical and ¹H-NMR properties of 2 and $\underline{3}$ as well as their synthetic intermediates are shown in table.

Sims <u>et al.</u>¹³ have reported the synthesis of two dihydrodiols, namely, diol A and diol B in <.05% yields by the chemical oxidation of DBA with absorbic acid-ferrous sulfate and EDTA system. These authors tentatively identified diol A and diol B as DBA-3,4-dihydrodiol <u>3</u> and DBA-1,2-dihydrodiol <u>2</u>, respectively, by comparing the chemical shifts of the benzylic carbinol proton and benzylic vinyl proton in the ¹H-NMR spectra of these compounds. However, a compari-

TABLE

NMR SPECTRUM (270 MHz)^a COMPOUND (mp) 1-0xo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-2.32(m,H₃); 2.87(t,H₂); 3.41(t,H₄); 7.50-8.20(m,7H); 8.84(d,H₈); DBA (182-183)⁰ 12 9.11(s,H₉); 9.84(s,H₁₄); $J_{2,3}=6.9$; $J_{3,4}=6.2$; $J_{7,8}=8.2$ 9-(2-carboxybenzoyl)-1,2, CDCl₃+D₂0: 1.6-2.0(m,4H); 2.71(m,2H); 3.11(m,2H); 7.30-8.10 (m,8H); 8.90(m,1H) 3,4-tetrahydrophenanthrene (207-208)^b 4 1,2,3,4-TetrahydroDBA 2.00(m,4H,H₂ and H₃); 3.10(m,H₄); 3.22(m,H₁); 7.45-8.30(m,7H); (135-136)⁰ 5 8.48(s,H₁₄); 8.81(m,H₈); 9.12(s,H₉) 1.87-2.40(m,H₂ and H₃); 2.10(bs, exchangeable with $D_2^{0,0H}$); 2.95 1-Hydroxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroDBA (163-165)^D 8 $(m,H_{\mu}); 3.26(dd,H_{\mu}); 5.56(m,H_{1}); 7.40-8.10(m,7H); 8.78(s,H_{1\mu});$ 8.80(m,H₈); 9.09(s,H₉); J_{4,4},=20; J_{3,4}=4 $1.77-2.40(m,H_{2.3} \text{ and OH}); 3.04(m,H_3); 3.44(m,H_3,); 5.42(bs,H_4);$ 4-Hydroxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroDBA (150-151)^b 9 7.50-8.35(m,7H); 8.51(s,H₁₄); 8.78(m,H₈); 9.10(s,H₉) 3,4-DihydroDBA (145-146)^b 13 2.50(m,H₃); 3.20(m,H₄); 6.35-6.48(m,H₂); 7.45(d,H₁); 7.50-8.20 (m,7H); 8.66(s,H₁₄); 8.87(m,H₈); 9.20(s,H₉); J_{1,2}=10 1,2-DihydroDBA (121-122)⁰ 14 2.40-2.76(m,H₂); 3.34(t,H₁); 6.38(m,H₃); 7.27(m,H₄); 7.37-8.34 $(m,7H); 8.58(s,H_{14}); 8.85(m,H_8); 9.16(s,H_9); J_{2,3}=J_{2',3}=4.5;$ J_{2,4}=J_{2',4}=1.7; J_{3,4}=10 $2.42-2.76(m,H_3); \ \overline{3.22-3.57(m,H_4)}; \ 5.82(m,H_2); \ 7.10(d,H_1); \ 7.22$ trans-1,2-Dibenzoyloxy-8.27(m,17H); 8.50(s,H₁₄); 8.92(m,H₈); 9.20(s,H₉); J_{1.2}=3.1 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroDBA (255-256)^b 15 trans-3,4-Dibenzoyloxy-2.43-2.74(m,H₂); 3.32-3.70(m,H₁); 5.80(m,H₃); 6.92(d,H₁); 7.25-8.18(m,17H); 8.69(s,H₁₄); 8.89(m,H₈); 9.24(s,H₀); J_{3 4}=3 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroDBA (200-201) 17 trans-1,2-Diacetoxy-1,2,3,4-2.00(s,3H); 2.11(s,3H); 2.20-2.52(m,H₃); 3.10-3.44(m,H₄); 5.43 tetrahydroDBA (235-236)^D 16 $(m, H_2); 6.70(d, H_1); 7.50-8.20(m, 7H); 8.35(s, H_{14}); 8.91(d, H_8);$ 9.20(s,H₉); J_{1.2}=2.6; J_{7.8}=8 2.00(s,3H); 2.13(s,3H); 2.21-2.58(m,H₂); 3.17-3.57(m,H₁); 5.40 trans-3,4-Diacetoxy-1,2,3,4tetrahydroDBA (229-230)^b <u>18</u> (m,H₃); 6.53(d,H₄); 7.52-8.21(m,7H); 8.62(s,H₁₄); 8.87(m,H₈); $9.20(s, H_{9}); J_{3,4}=2.7$ 2.00(s,3H); 2.07(s,3H); 5.47(dd,H₂); 6.52(dd,H₃); 6.96(bs,H₁); trans-1,2-Diacetoxy-1,2dihydroDBA (179-180)^b 19 7.50-8.18(m,7H and H_{μ}); 8.28(d, H_{5}); 8.58(s, H_{14}); 8.92(d, H_{8}); 9.22(s,H₉); J_{1,2}=1.6; J_{1,3}=0.7; J_{2,3}=5.5; J_{3,4}=9.5; J_{5,6}=8.6; J7.8^{=8.2} trans-3,4-Diacetoxy-3,4-1.99(s,3H); 2.08(s,3H); 5.46(dd,H₂); 6.55(dd,H₂); 6.79(bs,H₄); dihydroDBA (196-198)^b 20 7.50-8.23(m,7H and H₁); 8.78(s,H₁₄); 8.89(m,H₈); 9.23(s,H₉); $J_{1,2}^{=10}; J_{2,3}^{=5.5}; J_{3,4}^{=1.5}$ Acetone d₆: 4.50(d,H₂); 5.55(s,H₁); 6.52(dd,H₃); 7.54(d,H₄); trans-1,2-Dihydroxy-1,2-7.44-8.44(m,7H); 8.99(s,H₁₄); 9.05(m,H₈); 9.43(s,H₉); J_{1 2}=0; dihydroDBA (219-220d) 2 J_{2.3}=5.7; J_{3.4}=10

4366

with TMS as internal standard.

^bCorrect microanalysis was obtained for these compounds, other listed compounds molecular gave corrections in the mass spectrum.

son of the ¹H-NMR and UV spectra (Figure) of the authentic DBA-3,4-dihydrodiol <u>3</u> and DBA-1,2dihydrodiol <u>2</u> with those of diol A and diol B strongly suggests that, in contrary to what was reported by Sims <u>et al</u>.¹³, the diol A and diol B are best identified as DBA-1,2-dihydrodiol <u>2</u> and DBA-3,4-dihydrodiol <u>3</u>, respectively. Since Sims <u>et al</u>.^{4,9,19} used diol A and diol B for understanding the mechanism of carcinogenesis of DBA, the subsequent biological studies reported by these authors with the tentatively identified dihydrodiols should be interpreted with caution.

Acknowledgment. This investigation was supported by Grant No. 5R01ES03788 awarded to SK by the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, DHHS.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- 1. A. Dipple. In "Chemical Carcinogens," C. E. Searle, ed., Amer. Chem. Soc., NY, 1976, 245.
- 2. W. Lijinsky, H. Garcia and U. Saffiotti, J. Natl. Cancer Inst., 44, 641, 1970.
- 3. J. D. Scribner, J. Natl. Cancer Inst., 50, 1717, 1973.
- 4. C. Malaveille, A. Hautefeuille, H. Bartsch, A. D. MacNicoll, P. L. Grover, and P. Sims, Carcinogenesis, <u>1</u>, 287, 1980.
- 5. J. McCann, E. Choi, E. Yamaski and B. N. Ames, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA, 72, 5135, 1975.
- 6. L. M. Goshman and C., Heidelberger, Cancer Res., 27, 1676, 1967.
- 7. M. Duncan, P. Brookes and A. Dipple, Int. J. Cancer, 4, 813, 1969.
- 8. P. L. Grover and P. Sims, Biochem. J., 110, 159, 1968.
- 9. M. Nordqvist, D. R. Thakker, H. Yagi, R. E. Lehr, A. W. Wood, W. Levin, A. H. Conney and D. M. Jerina. In "Molecular Basis of Environmental Toxicity,", R. S. Bhatnagar, ed., Ann Arbor Science Publishers, Ann Arbor, MI, 1980, pp. 329-357; P. Sims and P. L. Grover. In "Polycyclic Hydrocarbon and Cancer,", H. V. Gelboin and P.O.P. Ts'O, eds. Academic Press, NY, 1981, pp. 117-181.
- R. E. Lehr, S. Kumar, W. Levin, A. W. Wood, R. L. Chang, M. K. Buening, A. H. Conney, D. L. Whalen, D. R. Thakker, H. Yagi and D. J. Jerina. In "Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons: Analysis, Chemistry and Biology," A. Bjorseth and A. J. Dennis, eds., Battelle Press, Columbus, OH, 1980, pp. 675-688.
- A. W. Wood, R. L. Chang, M. T. Huang, W. Levin, R. E. Lehr, S. Kumar, D. R. Thakker, H. Yagi, D. M. Jerina and A. H. Conney, Can. Res., <u>41</u>, 1985, 1980.
- R. L. Chang, W. Levin, A. W. Wood, R. E. Lehr, S. Kumar, H. Yagi, D. M. Jerina and A. H. Conney, Can. Res., <u>41</u>, 915, 1981.
- A. D. MacNicoll, P. M. Burden, H. Rattle, P. L. Grover and P. Sims, Chem. Biol. Interaction, <u>27</u>, 368, 1979.
- (a) R. G. Harvey, C. Leyba, M. Konieczny, P. P. Fu and K. B. Sukumaran, J. Org. Chem., <u>43</u>, 3423, 1978. (b) K. L. Platt and F. Oesch, J. Org., Chem., <u>46</u>, 2601, 1981.
- 15. R. E. Lehr and P. L. Kole, Tetrahedron Lett., 27, 1649, 1986.
- 16. cf R. E. Lehr, S. Kumar, P. T. Cohenour and D. M. Jerina, Tetrahedron Lett., 20, 3819, 1979.
- 17. M. S. Newman and R. Gaertner, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 72, 264, 1950.
- 18. R. E. Lehr, M. Schaefer-Ridder and D. M. Jerina, J. Org. Chem. 42, 736, 1977.
- I.Chouroulinkov, H.Coulomb, A.D.MacNicoll, P.L.Grover and P.Sims, Can. Lett., <u>19</u>, 21, 1983. (Received in USA 7 May 1987)